Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated	Co	orrected	Supp	lemental	
LRB	Number	05-0052/2		Introduc	ction Number	SB-176	3	
Subje Reside	ct ence of sex o	ffenders						
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Increase E Revenues Decrease I Revenues	•	absorb with	osts - May be iin agency's t es Costs	e possible to oudget \[\] No	
	ndeterminate I.	e Costs ive Mandato se Costs ive Mandato	4. Decrease F	Mandator Revenue	Counties	ed Village	e Cities	
Fund S	ources Affe	-	PRS SEG	SEGS	Affected Ch. 20	Appropriation	ons	
Agency	y/Prepared E	Ву	Auth	orized Signa	ature		Date	
DOC/ C	athy Halpin ((608) 240-5538	Robe	ert Margolies	t Margolies (608) 240-5056			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 7/25/2005

LRB Number	05-0052/2	Introduction Number	SB-176	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Residence of s	sex offenders				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill restricts convicted sex offenders who are placed on parole, probation or extended supervision from establishing, reestablishing or remaining in a residence within 1 mile of the victim of the sex offense. This bill also broadens the definition of a serious sex offense so that the residency restrictions apply to a person who has committed a third or fourth degree sexual assault or committed sexual exploitation by a therapist.

In most cases, the new prohibition would also apply when the offender seeks to change his/her residence. It is unclear if this prohibition would apply if the offender were to re-sign a lease agreement [same residence, new time period].

During CY2004, 735 offenders convicted of one of the included sex offenses were released from prison while still under Department supervision. During that same time period 925 offenders were placed on probation for these offenses. Finally, 612 sex offenders who changed residences would be affected. Assuming this data is representative of future statistics, it is estimated that the Department would have to locate the victims of approximately 2,300 sex offenders annually and to identify appropriate housing for the offender outside a 1-mile radius of those victims.

It should be noted that many sex offenders have multiple victims. In order to determine an acceptable residence outside a 1-mile radius from the victim(s), Department staff will have to determine the current address of the victim(s). One source of that information will be the Victim Notification System the Department maintains, but that only includes addresses of victims who have registered to receive alerts about their victimizer.

There are currently approximately 15,000 victims enrolled in our system with active cases. We don't know how many are victims of a sex offense or what percentage of victims of a sex offense enroll for notification. Enrollment is discretionary on part of the victim. Some victims don't want to know about or be involved in a case after the conviction.

Enrolled victims have access to automated offender information 24 hours a day, seven days a week, through VINE, an automated phone system and VOICE, a Web-based system. In sex offender cases this includes items such as the address of the offender's home, school and place of employment. Whenever a sex offender moves, the victim is notified via U.S. Mail or through a personal and confidential online message center.

It is not clear in the bill what steps DOC will have to take to locate victims. If it is assumed that probation/parole staff will have to locate the current address of victims who have not enrolled for notification, they will potentially encounter victims who have had one or two surname changes through marriage, subsequent divorce and remarriage, adoption, etc., during the time the offender was placed in prison until the time of the offender's release to the community or until the offender requests an address change. Since the victims may no longer live in Wisconsin, and potentially, the offender may, through interstate agreements, petition to live outside Wisconsin, the search for the victim could extend beyond Wisconsin borders.

In small Wisconsin communities or with recent crimes, it may take very little time to track the victim's current location. In large urban settings, or if much time has passed, it will be more time consuming for the agent to identify the victim's current location.

The Department has no prior experience with locating unregistered victims and cannot predict the length of time necessary to find the multiple victims of approximately 2,300 offenders per year. While it is anticipated that the legislation will require additional resources, it is not possible to estimate the increase.

In addition to the workload increases necessary to locate the victim, it is assumed the Department's workload to

locate appropriate housing for the sex offender will increase. Probation and parole agents are involved in assessing residences for sex offenders and in some cases actually finding appropriate residences. There are a number of potential situations that could conceivably arise that would affect an agent's workload.

The first situation involves sex offenders who live in small communities where the majority of the residences exist in a limited geographic area. Generally, the Department places sex offenders in the county of conviction. These areas may include small communities where the victim lives in the center of the community. If a one-mile radius is applied, it is possible that there would be no housing available for the offender in that community. This would then require the agent to assist the offender in finding housing in another community.

Especially time consuming will be those offenders with special physical or mental health treatment needs, which may include placement in a nursing home. If, for example, a nursing home is willing to accept a sex offender in a wheel chair, and the victim lives within a 1-mile radius, the sex offender would be prohibited from living in that nursing home. It is likely the Department would spend considerable time to find alternative housing for this offender.

Halfway houses provide structure to an offender's day as well as treatment. The offender is also often expected to seek full-time employment in the same geographic area. If the victim were to live within a 1-mile radius of the halfway house, the Department would have to locate suitable housing in another geographic area that may not have the treatment options available. In addition, the Department may incur opposition from communities that don't want sex offenders relocating to their area.

In summary, it is anticipated that the Department's workload will substantially increase in order to locate suitable sex offender housing outside of a 1-mile radius of potentially, multiple victims. However, the Department is unable to quantify the increase.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications